Facile Synthesis of Nano Mg-Co Ferrites (x=0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, and 0.40) via Co-precipitation Route: Structural Characterization

Rakesh Vishwarup 1, Shridhar N. Mathad 2,*

1 Department of Physics, Jain P.U. College, Davanagere, Karnataka, India
2 Department of Engineering Physics, K.L.E Institute of technology, Hubballi, 580027, Karnataka, India
* Correspondence: physiccssiddu@gmail.com; Scopus ID: 55143736400

Abstract: Cobalt doped MgFe₂O₄ (x=0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, and 0.40) ferrites samples were prepared by facile synthesis route co-precipitation method. Formation of spinal cubic ferrite was confirmed by using X-ray diffraction with lattice parameters of the samples ranging from 8.207Å - 8.357Å, and the crystallite size is in the range 180Å - 360Å. The surface morphology from SEM analysis observed grain sizes in the range 4μm - 6 μm. A comparative contemplate was carried out with the help of W-H analysis and SSP analysis. An absorption band of the FTIR spectrum is employed to supports the formation of spinal cubic structure. Dislocation density, hopping lengths, and microstrain of the sample were also reported. A detailed structural study of ferrites has been reported with respect to cobalt doping.
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1. Introduction

Ferromagnetic nanomaterials are of increasing importance because of their wide applications in electric and magnetic fields [1,2]. Because of their high permeability, high electrical resistivity, low electric and magnetic losses, they are widely used in microwave devices [3]. Ferromagnetic properties of the material depending on the particle or grain size. When the particle size is large compared to the single domain size, then the material act as a hard magnet. If the particle size smaller than the single domain size, the material act as a soft magnet [4]. Ferrites are magnetic materials which exhibit ferromagnetism. Ferrites are similar to antiferromagnets except for the fact that ferrites show spontaneous magnetization in the absence of field due to unequal dipole arrangement in the opposite direction [5,6]. Ferrite contains iron oxide as its principal component, with one or more metal cations [7]. The chemical formula of ferrite is MFe₂O₄ (M = divalent metal ion, e.g., Mn, Mg, Zn, Ni, Co, Cu, etc). Ferrites can be doped with more number of metal ions, their chemical formula changes by adding another metal ion [8]. Where divalent metal ion and trivalent metal ion, which will occupy tetrahedral and octahedral sites, respectively in normal spinel ferrite [9]. Magnesium ferrite is an inverse spinel...
ferrite where maximum trivalent ions occupy tetrahedral sites, and remaining trivalent and divalent ions occupy octahedral sites [10]. Soft ferrites have unique properties such as high Curie temperature, high saturation magnetization, and low coercivity. So, they are widely used in microwave absorbers and high-frequency electronic instruments. Cobalt ferrite is also inverse spinel ferrite, but it is known as hard ferrite compared to magnesium ferrite because of high coercivity [11]. On doping with cobalt, the coercivity of Mg ferrite increases. And also, lesser ionic radii of cobalt causes shrinkage of a unit cell of Mg-ferrite [12].

Ferrites can be synthesized by many techniques such as solid-state reactions [13], co-precipitation technique [14], sol-gel combustion [15], modified oxidation process [16], forced hydrolysis [17], hydrothermal process [18], ball-milling [19], Pulsed laser deposition [20], Sputtering [21] and aerosol method [22]. In which the co-precipitation method is an eco-friendly, cost-effective method, which is the most frequently used method for the synthesis of ferrites.

In this manuscript we established the structural properties of Mg_{1-x}Co_xFe_2O_4 (x=0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, and 0.40) nanoparticles synthesized by co-precipitation method. The structural properties of ferrites have been explored by XRD, SEM, and FTIR studies. We have compared the unit cell volume, X-ray density, microstrain, and crystallite size of samples with different concentrations of cobalt doping, also correlated these results with WH and SSP plots. The surface morphology of samples with different concentrations of cobalt is done, and grain sizes were compared and reported.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis.

All samples were synthesized by the co-precipitation method [22]. Analytical grade compounds of MgCl_2.4H_2O, CoCl_2.2H_2O, and FeCl_3.6H_2O were dissolved separately in distilled water (150CC each) to produce an ionic solution. Ammonia solution is added dropwise until we get a pH of 8. During this process, metal hydroxides were formed, further formation of Mg_{1-x}Co_xFe_2O_4 ferrites. The precipitate is powdered by using mortar and crusher. The well-powdered sample is heated to 550°C for 6 hours by using a Muffle furnace. The schematic diagram representing the synthesis process is shown in Fig.1.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 XRD analysis.

The XRD patterns of Mg_{1-x}Co_xFe_2O_4 ferrites are given in Fig2 with the peaks (1 1 1), (2 2 0), (3 1 1), (4 0 0), (3 3 1), (4 2 2), (5 1 1), (4 4 0) and (5 3 1) confirms the cubic spinel structure which is confirmed with the help of (JCPDC card #00-084-0542) of MgFe_2O_4[32,33]. The detailed information such as lattice parameter, unit cell volume, crystallite size, X-ray density, bond lengths, dislocation density and microstrain are given in the table1 for different concentrations (that is for x=0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.35 and 0.4) are calculated using the following equations[23] and presented in Table 2.

The Crystallite size (D) calculated by using the Debye–Scherer equation is

\[ D = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]  

(1)

The Dislocation density and micro strain are calculated by using equations

\[ \rho_D = \frac{1}{D^2} \]  

(2)

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\beta \cos \theta}{4} \]  

(3)

\[ \rho_D = \frac{15\varepsilon}{aD} \]  

(4)

The X-ray density (\(\Delta_x\)) was calculated by the formula

\[ \Delta_x = \frac{BM}{Na^2} \]  

(5)

The Hopping length in site A (tetrahedral) and site B (octahedral) were calculated by

![Figure 1. The schematic diagram for the synthesis of ferrites.](https://materials.international)
Facile synthesis of Nano Mg-Co ferrites (x=0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, and 0.40) via coprecipitation route: structural characterization

\[ L_A = \frac{a \times \sqrt{3}}{4} \text{ and } L_B = \frac{a \times \sqrt{2}}{4} \]  

(6)

The interatomic distances – tetrahedral bond length \((r_{A-O})\), octahedral bond length \((r_{B-O})\) calculated using

\[ r_A = (a - 1/4)a\sqrt{3} - r(O^{2-}) \]  

(7)

\[ r_B = (5/8 - a)r(O^{2-}) \]  

(8)

The Lattice strain \(\eta\) and average crystalline size \(D\) were calculated using the Williamson–Hall equation

\[ \frac{\beta \cos \theta}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{D} + \frac{\eta \sin \theta}{\lambda} \]  

(9)

The “size-strain plot” (SSP) is the best tool to empathize the isotropic nature and micro-strain by

\[ (d_{HKL}^2 \beta_{HKL} \cos \theta)^2 = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \frac{d_{HKL}^2}{\Delta d_{HKL}^2} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \]  

(10)

The W-H plot and SSP analysis graphs were shown in Fig.3 and Fig.4 for the ferrite samples, respectively. Also, we have correlated these values with the calculations from the size strain plot (SSP) and Williamson-Hall (W-H) plot [24], which are given in Table 2.

**Figure 2.** XRD pattern of Mg\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) ferrite.

**Figure 3.** Williamson-Hall plots for Mg\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) ferrites.

**Figure 4.** Size Strain Plots for Mg\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) ferrites.

**Figure 5.** SEM images of the Mg\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) series (x=0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.35 and 0.4).

From the Fig.5 SEM images reveal that morphology changes continuously by varying the concentration of cobalt content. SEM images show the formation of polygon-shaped grains with a size ranging from 4.7\(\mu\)m - 5.4 \(\mu\)m. For higher cobalt
concentration, the grain size decreases because of smaller ionic radii of magnesium compared to that of cobalt. Figure 5 shows the grown nanoparticles with a relatively larger size compared to the size obtained from XRD analysis. This is because of the formation of secondary particles due to the agglomeration of primary particles with an increase of cobalt content [25].

### 3.3. FTIR analysis.

Fig. 6 shows FTIR spectrum of Mg$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ (x=0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.35 and 0.4) within wavelength range 400-4000 cm$^{-1}$. Ferrites are possessing two major bands $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ for tetrahedral metal-oxygen vibration and octahedral vibration, respectively [26, 27]. The lower absorption band is found to be between 419 cm$^{-1}$ to 425 cm$^{-1}$ of metal-oxygen vibration at the octahedral site. And higher absorption band is found to be between 560 cm$^{-1}$ to 591 cm$^{-1}$ at tetrahedral sites, as shown in Table 4. The values of $\nu_1$ are greater than those of $\nu_2$; it is indicating that the normal vibration mode of the tetrahedral vibration is higher than that of the corresponding octahedral sites. This may be due to a shorter bond length in the tetrahedral site [A] compared to that in the octahedral one [B]. The observed shift in band positions slightly towards lower value for $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ with increasing cobalt content [28].

![Figure 6. FTIR Spectrum of Mg$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite.](image)

#### Table 1. Lattice parameter (a), Volume of the unit cell (V), the crystallite size (D), X-ray density ($d_0$), Dislocation Density ($\rho_d$), microstrain ($\varepsilon$), Hopping length $L_A$ and $L_B$ of Mg$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>0.15</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.35</th>
<th>0.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lattice parameter (Å)</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of unit cell V (10$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>577.80</td>
<td>571.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallite size (Å)</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray density ($d_0$, gm/cm$^3$)</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dislocation Density ($\rho_d$(m$^{-2}$) X10$^{14}$)</td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microstrain ($\varepsilon$, X10$^{-4}$)</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopping length $L_A$ (Å)</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopping length $L_B$ (Å)</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2. Comparative values of crystallite size (D), microstrain ($\varepsilon$), and Dislocation Density ($\rho_d$) calculated by W-H plots and Size-Strain plots for Mg$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>W-H graph</th>
<th>From Eqn 1</th>
<th>SSP Graph</th>
<th>WH</th>
<th>From Eqn 3</th>
<th>SSP Graph</th>
<th>1/D$^2$</th>
<th>1/$\varepsilon$/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Absorption bands and grain size for Mg1-xCoxF4 ferrites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>ν1 (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>ν2 (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Average Grain Size (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

Mg₁₋ₓCoₓFe₂O₄ (x=0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.35 and 0.4) ferrites were successfully synthesized and characterized. All samples have a single-phase cubic spinel structure, which is confirmed by XRD analysis and FTIR. On substitution of cobalt content, the lattice constant, unit cell volume, X-ray density crystallite size decreases due to shrinkage of the unit cell on increasing the concentration of cobalt content. Because the ionic radius of cobalt is smaller compared to ionic radius of Mg, SEM images show regular polygon structured grains with grain size 4μm – 6μm. On substitution of cobalt the grain texture turns to polyhedral with lesser microstructure homogeneity, and a variation in grains size is observed, which gradually increases with an increase in cobalt. The FTIR spectra also confirm the formation of the spinel structure, which shows two absorption bands associated with the presence of two sublattices at sites A and B.
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